Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		HOLLISED.063A	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail	Application Number		Filed
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	09/675,323		September 28, 2000
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	Henry Lardy		
Art Unit			Examiner
Typed or printed name	1623		Elli Peselev
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the		1	. 1
applicant/inventor.) Da	ryl-Al	Muenchan
assignee of record of the entire interest.	Signature Daryl D. Muenchau		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 36,616	858-587-9333		
Registration number	•	Telephone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	July 19, 2006		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			
*Total of forms are submitted			i

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

: Henry Lardy, et al.

Application No. : 09/675,323

Filed

: September 28, 2000

Title

: Therapeutic Treatment of Androgen Driven Conditions

5 Examiner : Elli Peselev

TC/A.U.

: 1623

Docket No.

: HOLISED.063A

Customer No.

: 26551

10 Confirmation No.: 2363

15

25

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

20 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants request review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

Applicants request this review for the reasons stated, which begin on page

2.

The undersigned is the attorney of record (reg. No. 36,616).

INTRODUCTION

Applicants are filing this review request in response to the final office action the Office mailed on June 23, 2006. A notice of appeal and provision for payment of the fee accompanies this request. The claims in this application recite methods to treat clinical conditions associated with androgen receptor activity. Applicants request reconsideration of the rejection for the reasons discussed below.

10

15

20

25

30

5

DOUBLE PATENTING

Office finally rejected claims 56-59, 61, 63-65 and 67-69 of the present patent application as allegedly obvious over claim 15 of copending patent application No. 10/319,356. As discussed below, the rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

Obviousness-type double patenting was developed to cover the situation where patents or applications are not citable as a reference against each other and therefore can not be examined for compliance with the rule that only one patent is available per invention. Double patenting is thus applied when neither patent is prior art against the other, usually because they have a common priority date. *Eli Lilly and Co. v. Barr Laboratories Inc. et al.*, 251 F.3d 955, 58 U.S.P.Q. 2D 1865 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Copending application No. 10/319,356 does not share a common priority date with this application and obviousness-type double patenting does not apply to these two applications. Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection over copending application No. 10/319,356.

On two occasions, Applicants stated that claim 15 of copending patent application No. 10/319,356 had been canceled, with the pending claims in that application directed to treating inflammation conditions. Applicants reiterate this assertion. The attached sheets are pages 1 and 3 of the February 24, 2006 petition and amendment that included cancellation of claim 15 in the 10/319,356 application. The attached sheets are a copy of the amendment in the 10/319,356

Application serial No. 09/675,323 Filed September 28, 2000 Mail Stop AF

application image file wrapper. The amendment canceling claim 15 is at line 14 of page 3 in that amendment. Since claim 15 in the 10/319,356 application is canceled, the rejection should be withdrawn.

5

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 19, 2006

10

Daryl D. Muenchau, Reg. No. 36,616 Hollis-Eden Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 4435 Eastgate Mall, Suite 400

San Diego, CA 92121 Phone: 858-320-2569

15

20

Attachment:

Copy of pages 1 and 3 from the February 24, 2006 petition and amendment in the file for U.S. application No. 10/319,356



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 202,20

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

: Clarence N. Ahlem, et al.

App. No.

: 10/319,356

Filed

: December 13, 2002

Title

: Pharmaceutical Compositions and Treatment Methods

10 Examiner : Alton N. Pryor

Group Art Unit

: 1616

Confirmation No. : 9113

Customer No.

: 26551

15

20

PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(B) AND AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 25 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

30 Applicants hereby petition for revival of this application.

This petition to revive an unintentionally abandoned application under 37 CFR § 1.137(b) is submitted in response to the notice of abandonment the office sent on February 23, 2006 and a reply to the office action the Office mailed on June 2, 2004 is included. Provision for payment of the petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m) accompanies this petition and a statement that the entire delay was unintentional is also included.

Amendments to the specification begin on page 2.

Amendments to the claims begin on page 3.

Remarks for the petition and office action begin on page 6.

40

35

02/28/2006 TBESHAH1 00000014 501536 10319356

01 FC:2453

750.00 DA

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows.



5

1 (currently amended): A method to treat <u>an inflammation condition</u> or prevent a pathological condition selected from the group consisting of a pathogen infection, an autoimmune disease, an allergy or inflammation condition, a cancer or a precancer, a neurological disorder, a wound, a burn, a bone fracture, esteoporosis, acute myelitis, sarcoidosis or an immune suppression condition or unwanted immune response associated with a chemotherapy, a radiation therapy or aging in a subject in need thereof, comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of 16α -bromo- 3β -hydroxy- 5α -androstan-17-one hemihydrate.

Claims 2-18 (cancelled)

15

20

10

19 (currently amended): The method of claim 18, wherein the allergy or claim 1 wherein the inflammation condition is allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, atopic asthma, allergic respiratory disease, allergic rhinitis, atopic asthma, sarcoidosis, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, atopic dermatitis, lung fibrosis, subepithelial fibrosis in airway hyperresponsiveness, chronic sinusitis, perennial allergic rhinitis, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic diarrhea or fibrosing alveolitis.

Claims 20-23 (cancelled)

25

30

24 (currently amended): The method of <u>claim 19</u> <u>claim 1, 2, 13, 16, 18 or</u> 20 wherein the subject is a human.

25 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the 16α -bromo- 3β -hydroxy- 5α -androstan-17-one hemihydrate is administered to the subject in a buccal or sublingual formulation.